

Calvin L. Litsey (SBN 289659)
Faegre Baker Daniels LLP
1950 University Avenue, Suite 450
East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2279
Telephone: +1 650 324-6700
Facsimile: +1 650 324-6701
calvin.litsey@FaegreBD.com

Kathy L. Osborn (*pro hac vice*)
Ryan M. Hurley (*pro hac vice*)
Faegre Baker Daniels LLP
300 N. Meridian Street, Suite 2700
Indianapolis, IN 46204
Telephone: +1 317-237-0300
Facsimile: +1 317-237-1000
kathy.osborn@FaegreBD.com
ryan.hurley@FaegreBD.com

Jeffrey S. Roberts (*pro hac vice*)
Faegre Baker Daniels LLP
3200 Wells Fargo
1700 Lincoln Street
Denver, CO 80203
Telephone: +1 303 607 3500
Facsimile: +1 303 607 3600
jeff.roberts@FaegreBD.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Thomson S.A. and
Thomson Consumer Electronics, Inc.*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

IN RE CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

No. 07-cv-5944-SC
MDL No. 1917

This Document Relates to:

*Sharp Electronics Corp. et al. v. Hitachi,
Ltd. Et al., No. 13-cv-01173*

**STIPULATION AND ~~[PROPOSED]~~
ORDER RE EXTENSION OF BRIEFING
SCHEDULE**

[DECLARATION OF JEFFREY S. ROBERTS
FILED CONCURRENTLY WITH]

Judge: Hon. Samuel Conti
Special Master: Hon. Charles A. Legge (Ret.)

1 Plaintiffs Sharp Electronics Corporation and Sharp Electronics Manufacturing
 2 Company of America, Inc. (collectively, “Sharp”) and Defendant Thomson S.A. enter into this
 3 Stipulation concerning the matter entitled *Sharp Electronics Corp. et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd. et al.*, No.
 4 13-cv-01173, which was related to *In re Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust Litigation*, No. 07-cv-
 5 05944, by an Order of Judge Samuel Conti on March 26, 2013.

6 SUBJECT TO THE COURT’S APPROVAL, THE PARTIES STIPULATE AND
 7 AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

8 WHEREAS, on March 15, 2013, Sharp filed a complaint in the Northern District of
 9 California alleging antitrust violations by manufacturers, distributors and sellers of CRT and CRT
 10 Products, captioned *Sharp Electronics Corp., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.*, No. 13-cv-01173 (the
 11 “Sharp Complaint”);

12 WHEREAS, on May 24, 2013, Sharp and Thomson S.A. entered into a stipulation
 13 extending Thomson S.A.’s time to answer, move, or otherwise respond to the Sharp Complaint to
 14 July 3, 2013 [Dkt. No. 1690];

15 WHEREAS, Thomson S.A. filed a Motion to Dismiss the Sharp Complaint on July
 16 3, 2013 [Dkt. No. 1765] (“Motion to Dismiss”);

17 WHEREAS, on July 12, 2013, Sharp and Thomson S.A. entered into a stipulation
 18 extending the deadline for Sharp to file its opposition to the Motion to Dismiss until July 31, 2013,
 19 and to extend the deadline for Thomson S.A. to file a reply to Sharp’s opposition to the Motion to
 20 Dismiss until August 14, 2013 [Dkt. No. 1771];

21 WHEREAS, on July 15, 2013, an order was entered granting the stipulation of Sharp
 22 and Thomson S.A. extending the deadline for Sharp’s opposition brief and Thomson S.A.’s reply
 23 brief [Dkt. No. 1775];

24 WHEREAS, on July 24, 2013, Sharp and Thomson S.A. entered into a stipulation
 25 further extending the deadline for Sharp to file its opposition to the Motion to Dismiss until August
 26 7, 2013, and extending the deadline for Thomson S.A. to file a reply to Sharp’s opposition to the
 27 Motion to Dismiss until August 21, 2013 [Dkt. No. 1795];

1 WHEREAS, on July 29, 2013, an order was entered granting the stipulation of Sharp
2 and Thomson S.A. extending the deadline for Sharp's opposition brief and Thomson S.A.'s reply
3 brief [Dkt. No. 1806].

4 NOW, THEREFORE, PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 6-1(b), SHARP AND
5 THOMSON CONSUMER, BY AND THROUGH THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNSEL OF
6 RECORD, HEREBY STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:

- 7 1. The deadline for Thomson S.A. to file a reply in support of its Motion to Dismiss
8 shall be extended until August 28, 2013.

9 **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

10 Dated: August 16, 2013

By: /s/ Calvin L. Litsey

11 Calvin L. Litsey (SBN 289659)
12 Faegre Baker Daniels LLP
13 1950 University Avenue, Suite 450
14 East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2279
Telephone: +1 650 324-6700
Facsimile: +1 650 324-6701

calvin.litsey@FaegreBD.com

15 Kathy L. Osborn (*pro hac vice*)
16 Ryan M. Hurley (*pro hac vice*)
17 Faegre Baker Daniels LLP
18 300 N. Meridian Street, Suite 2700
Indianapolis, IN 46204
19 Telephone: +1 317-237-0300
Facsimile: +1 317-237-1000
20 kathy.osborn@FaegreBD.com
ryan.hurley@FaegreBD.com

21 Jeffrey S. Roberts (*pro hac vice*)
22 Faegre Baker Daniels LLP
23 3200 Wells Fargo
1700 Lincoln Street
24 Denver, CO 80203
Telephone: +1 303 607 3500
25 Facsimile: +1 303 607 3600
jeff.roberts@FaegreBD.com

26 *Attorneys for Defendants Thomson S.A.*
27 *and Thomson Consumer Electronics, Inc.*
28

By: /s/ Craig A. Benson

Stephen E. Taylor (SBN 058452)
Jonathan A. Patchen (SBN 237346)
TAYLOR & COMPANY LAW OFFICES, LLP
One Ferry Building, Suite 355
San Francisco, California 94111
Telephone: (415) 788-8200
Facsimile: (415) 788-8208
Email: staylor@tcolaw.com
Email: jpatchen@tcolaw.com

Kenneth A. Gallo (*Pro Hac Vice*)
Joseph J. Simons (*Pro Hac Vice*)
Craig A. Benson (*Pro Hac Vice*)
PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP
2001 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006-1047
Telephone: (202) 223-7300
Facsimile: (202) 223-7420

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs Sharp Electronics Corp. and
Sharp Electronics Manufacturing Company of
America, Inc.*

Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i), the filer attests that the concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the above signatories.

Dated: August 16, 2013

By: /s/ Calvin L. Litsey

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August ²⁷_____, 2013

